

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,109	10/29/2004	Cheng C. Ko	3411	
75	590 10/25/2005		EXAMINER	
John M. Card			WILSON, ALLAN R	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione PO Box 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0610		2815	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/25/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	an.		
·,		10/502,109	KO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Allan R. Wilson	2815			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess		
Period fo	·		ITHON OR THERTY (20)	DAYO		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this common DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	September 2005.	1			
	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the m	nerits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 8-19 is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·			
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-	-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Appl	ication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been red	ceived in this National Sta	age		
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•		
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		•		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	pp	· = /		

Application/Control Number: 10/502,109

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,581,087 to Uddin et al. (of record) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,625,225 to Goodfellow et al. ("Goodfellow").

Regarding claim 1, Uddin et al. teach in figure 1 (entire document), a photodiode comprising:

a first p-type semiconductor layer 10;

an n-type semiconductor layer 12; and

Application/Control Number: 10/502,109

Art Unit: 2815

a second p-type semiconductor layer 11 disposed between the first p-type layer and the n-type layer such that the second p-type layer 11 is directly adjacent to the n-type layer, the second p-type layer having a graded doping concentration (col. 4, lines 53-59) along the path of the carriers.

Uddin does not show a semi-insulating substrate layer. Goodfellow illustrates in figures 1-3 a nnnp photodiode 12, 14, 24, 26 on a semiconductor-insulating substrate layer 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have InP semi-insulating substrate to be compatible with the semiconductor photodiode.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Uddin et al. teach anode 13 and cathode 14 affixed to the device to collect holes and electrons respectively.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito in view of Goodfellow. Saito taught the device and method of claims 1 and 10 respectively but did not teach the specific materials instantly claimed (InAlAs and InGaAs). However, Saito did teach that it was known in the art to use III-V heterojunctions as photodiode materials (col. 1, lines 29-35). Furthermore, InAlAs and InGaAs are well known in the art and commonly used in photodiode devices. As such, it is considered obvious to use the instantly claimed materials as they are merely a substitution in kind.

Saito does not show a semi-insulating substrate layer. Goodfellow illustrates in figures 1-3 a nnnp photodiode 12, 14, 24, 26 on a semiconductor-insulating substrate layer 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have InP semi-insulating substrate to be compatible with the semiconductor photodiode.

Art Unit: 2815

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-19 are allowed.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Uddin in col. 4, lines 53-59 disclose the graded doping concentration along the path of the carriers from layer 10 to layer 12.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2815

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 7:00-4:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner October 24, 2005